## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 4 LUCILLE RYAN, 5 Plaintiff, Case No.: 6 V. COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY 7 TRIAL ALLIED INTERSTATE, INC. 8 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) Defendant 9 **COMPLAINT** 10 LUCILLE RYAN ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., 11 12 alleges the following against ALLIED INTERSTATE, INC. ("Defendant"): 13 14 INTRODUCTION 15 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 16 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 17 18 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 19 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 20 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 21 without regard to the amount in controversy;" 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 22 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States; and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 23 grants this Court supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims. 24 3. Defendant conducts business and maintains its headquarters in the State of New 25 York, therefore personal jurisdiction is established. 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). - 1 -

1

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Savoy, Illinois.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate headquarters located at 335 Madison Ave, 27th Floor, New York, New York 10017.
  - 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt that Defendant was seeking to collect arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Plaintiff has not contracted with Defendant, and is unaware of owing any such debt to Defendant.
- 13. Throughout the month of September 2011, Defendant's representatives placed repeated debt collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
  - 14. Defendant placed multiple harassing calls to Plaintiff on a daily basis.
- 15. Defendant's representatives regularly called Plaintiff in excess of three (3) times in a single day.
  - 16. Defendant called Plaintiff well in excess of twenty (20) times in a month.
  - 17. On various occasions, Defendant's representatives called Plaintiff's cellular

#### 

telephone immediately after Plaintiff hung up.

- 18. Defendant's harassing telephone calls caused great aggravation and anxiety to Plaintiff, who has no existing business relationship with Defendant, and is unaware of the origin of his debt.
- 18. Defendant took the actions described herein with the intent to bully and intimidate Plaintiff into paying an alleged debt.

# COUNT 1 DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692 et. seq.

- 19. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in the following ways:
  - a. Harassing, oppressing or abusing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt, by calling Plaintiff repetitively, in violation of 15 U.S.C.§1692d;
  - b. When it caused the Plaintiff' telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692d(5); and
  - c. Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692f.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, LUCILLE RYAN, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);

#### Case 1:12-cv-00526-BSJ-AJP Document 1 Filed 01/23/12 Page 4 of 4

b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 1 2 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation 3 4 costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); 5 d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. 6 7 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, LUCILLE RYAN, demands a jury trial in this 8 9 case. 10 11 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C. DATED: 01/17/12 12 By:\_ Craig Thor Kimmel 13 Attorney ID # 2790038 Attorney for Plaintiff 14

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 -